```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 3
 4
 5
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                          Plaintiff.
 6
 7
              vs.
                                       No. CIV 98-1232 (TPJ)
 8
    MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
                                           CONFIDENT FALCO- >371 - 0014
 9
                          Defendant.
                                                      DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
                                                           AUG 281998
11
                                                        ANTITRUST DIVISION
12
                                                       SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE
                  DEPOSITION OF BILL GATES, a witness
13
    herein, taken on behalf of the plaintiffs at
14
15
     9:09 a.m., Thursday, August 27, 1998, at One
    Microsoft Way, Redmond, Washington, before Kathleen
16
17
    E. Barney, CSR, pursuant to Subpoena.
18
19
20
21
     REPORTED BY:
22
     Kathleen E. Barney,
     CSR No. 5698
23
     Katherine Gale
24
    CSR No. 9793
25
    Our File No. 1-49005
```

) .

```
1
2
3
6
                  BY MR. HOUCK: I hand you Exhibit 341,
7
    Mr. Gates, and this is a series of e-mails and the
8
    one I want to ask you about is the one on the second
9
    page from Mr. Siegelman to yourself and others dated
10
    April 6, 1995. Take a minute to take a look at it.
11
                   Have you finished reviewing the e-mail?
12
                   I looked at it.
            A.
13
                   The e-mail starts off as follows: "Pat
            0.
14
    Ferrel and I have been thinking about this problem a
15
     lot and watching Netscape very closely. I too am
16
     very worried."
17
                   What position did Mr. Ferrel hold at
18
     Microsoft in or about April of 1995?
19
                   He wasn't involved with Windows.
20
     was involved with Marvel.
21
                   Is he still a Microsoft employee?
22
            Q.
                   I don't think so. I'm not sure.
            Α.
23
                   Do you recall personally being worried
            Q.
24
```

about Netscape in or about April of 1995?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Do you recall discussing Netscape with
- 3 Mr. Siegelman in this time period?
- 4 A. I'm sure Russ and I discussed the
- 5 effect of the Internet in general on online service
- 6 strategies like the work he was doing that_became
- 7 MSN, but not Netscape in particular, no.
- 8 Q. The next sentence of the e-mail says,
- 9 "I agree with most of your problem statement, but I
- 10 think you underestimate the publisher/ISV threat.
- 11 Netscape is already opening up API hooks in their
- 12 viewer and many ISVs are hopping aboard."
- 13 Do you know what his reference is to
- 14 your "problem statement"?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Do you understand what he means here
- when he talks about opening up API hooks and many
- 18 ISVs hopping aboard?
- 19 A. I don't know what he meant. I can
- 20 guess if you want.
- 21 Q. Do you have any understanding as you
- 22 sit here what he meant by the language used in this
- 23 e-mail?
- A. I don't know what he meant. I'd have
- 25 to ask him what he meant.

- 1 Q. I'm asking for your understanding. Do
- 2 you have one or not?
- 3 A. Understanding of what? Of what he
- 4 meant?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- A. No. Of what those words might mean,
- 7 can guess.
- Q. I don't want you to guess. I'm asking
- 9 if you have any present understanding of what these
- 10 words mean.
- 11 A. I've told you I don't know who he means
- 12 by "you." I don't know what he means by "problem
- 13 statement." So I'm a little unclear about what he
- 14 means in this paragraph.
- 15 Q. Do you have any understanding -- strike
- 16 that.
- By ISV do you understand him to be
- 18 referring to independent software vendors?
- 19 A. That acronym refers to independent
- 20 software vendor.
- Q. And what does the acronym API refer to?
- 22 A. Application programming interface.
- Q. Do you recall yourself having a concern
- 24 in or about April, 1995 about the possibility that
- 25 Netscape was going to open up API hooks in the

- 1 Netscape Web browser?
- 2 A. I can't pin any recollection to that
- 3 particular time period, no.
- Q. Did you at some point come to the
- 5 conclusion that the prospect that Netscape might open
- 6 up API hooks in their browser was a threat to
- 7 Microsoft?

- 8 A. I think in late '95 Andreeson was
- 9 talking about how he was going to put us out bf
- 10 business, suggesting that their browser was a
- 11 platform. And, in fact, they did have APIs in their
- 12 browser.
- Q. Do you recall having any concern
- 14 yourself before late 1995 with respect to the threat
- 15 posed by Netscape opening up API hooks in their
- 16 browser?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Do you recall that other folks at
- 19 Microsoft had such concerns before late 1995?
- 20 A. It's hard to recall other people's
- 21 concerns. No, I don't recall other people's
- 22 concerns.

23

24

1	·
2	
3	MR. HOUCK: I'd like to mark-as
4	Gov Tuck thibit 19 Exhibit 342 a series of e-mails, first one being from
5	Nathan Myhrvold to Mr. Gates dated April 24, 1995
6	(The document referred to was marked
7	by the court reporter as Government Exhibit 342 for
8	identification and is attached hereto.)
9	Q. BY MR. HOUCK: Before you take a look
10	at that document, do you recall that there was a
11	general discussion at Microsoft at the top executive
12	level in or about April, 1995, with respect to the
13	threat to Microsoft posed by Netscape?
14	A. No.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
                   BY MR. HOUCK: In or about June 1995,
22
     Mr. Gates, did you become involved in the planning
23
     for some meetings with Netscape?
24
```

No.

Α

```
1
 2
 3
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
                     Cov. trial-critical 536

The next e-mail I want to ask you about
17
     is on page 231 of the document, and it's an e-mail
18
     from Paul Maritz to various people including yourself
19
20
     regarding the Netscape meeting, and it's dated June
     5, 1995.
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
                   Here Mr. Maritz reports that he did not
12
     get the impression from the meeting he had that
     Netscape was ready for a broad, strategic
13
14
     relationship.
15
                   Do you see that?
            Α
                   Do you think that refers to a meeting
16
     he had? I don't think so.
18
            Q
                   Let me refer you to page 596, Bates No.
19
     596.
20
            Α
                   Okay.
21
                   It's e-mailed the same date. And it
22
     says,
            "Attached is my summary of the meeting that
23
     Nathan, Paul and I had with Jim Barksdale of
24
```

Netscape."

```
Do you understand the reference of Paul
 1
 2
     to be a reference to Paul Maritz?
            Α
                   Oh, maybe he is talking about a meeting
 3
 4
     he had.
                  Do you have any recollection of
 5
     discussing Mr. Maritz's impression of this meeting
 6
     with Netscape?
 7
                   I didn't think Paul had met with
 8
 9
     Netscape.
                   So you have no present recollection of
10
     discussing with Mr. Maritz his views based on a
11
     meeting he had with Mr. Barksdale in or about the
12
     early part of June 1995?
13
           Α
                   NΩ
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Q. Let me show you Exhibit 591 -- or I

Deposition (x 39)

- 4 guess it's Exhibit 391. This purports to be a
- 5 message to you dated April 18, 1995, to you and other
- 6 people.
- 7 (The document referred to was marked Gas. Deposition £x. 391
- 8 by the court reporter as Government Exhibit 391 for
- 9 identification and is attached hereto.)
- 10 Q. BY MR. BOIES: Did you receive this
- 11 message in or about April of 1995?
- 12 A. I don't remember receiving it, but I
- have no reason to doubt that it was sent to me.
- Q. Let me ask you to look at the bottom of
- 15 the first page, next to last paragraph, the sentence
- 16 that begins "This does not mean that Netscape needs
- 17 to be a direct competitor." Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you recall discussions in or about
- 20 April of 1995 about whether or not Netscape would or
- 21 would not be a direct competitor of Microsoft?
- 22 A. I'm sure there was some discussion
- 23 about Netscape and the whole Internet phenomenon, and
- 24 particularly what that meant about the business
- 25 Nathan was in charge of, which was Marvel. I don't

- 1 remember specifically if we figured out whether they
- 2 would or wouldn't be a competitor or what they were
- 3 doing.
- 4 Q. Do you recall communications within
- 5 Microsoft in or about April of 1995 about what might
- 6 be done to be sure that Netscape did not become a
- 7 direct competitor of Microsoft?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Do you recall any communications within
- 10 Microsoft in or about April of 1997 relating to what
- 11 Microsoft might do to influence whether or not
- 12 Netscape became a direct competitor of Microsoft?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Do you recall any discussions within
- 15 Microsoft at any time or any communications within
- 16 Microsoft at any time relating to what Microsoft
- 17 might do to influence whether Netscape became a
- 18 direct competitor of Microsoft?
- 19 A. No.

21

22

23

24